IN THE

Supreme Court

of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1924

No. [redacted]417

REAL SILK HOSIERY MILLS (Incorporated),
Appellant,

vs.

CITY OF PORTLAND, a Municipal Corporation; GEORGE L. BAKER, Mayor of the City of Portland; FRANK S. GRANT, City Attorney of the City of Portland; L. V. JENKINS, Chief of Police of the City of Portland; and J. S. HUTCHINSON, Inspector of Licenses of said City of Portland, Oregon,

Appellees.

Brief of Appellees

Appeal from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

---

SIMON, GEARIN, HUMPHRIES & FREED,
Attorneys and Solicitors for Appellant,
Portland, Oregon.

FRANK S. GRANT, City Attorney,
ROBERT A. IMLAY, Deputy City Attorney,
Solicitors and Attorneys for Appellees,
Portland, Oregon.

PORTLAND PRINTING HOUSE CO., PORTLAND, OREGON

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
Amount of License Fee	66
Article XLV½ of Ordinance No. 40468	2
Argument	19
Cost and Inconvenience	60
Does Ordinance Violate Commerce Clause?	57
Drummer Cases	68
Emergency Clause Section of Ordinance	4
Federal Trade Commission—Reference to	80
License Fee	65
Ordinance No. 42886	2
Points and Authorities	8
Principal Contentions Involved	7
Reasonableness of Ordinance	57
Reasonableness of Classification	60
Section 16, Article LII. of Ordinance No. 40468	4
Scope of National Legislation	80
Statement of Facts	1

TABLE OF CASES CITED

Page

A

Allen v. Riley, 203 U. S. 347, 27 Sup. Ct. Rep. 95, 51 L. ed. 216, 8 Ann. Cas. 137 ..... 14, 59

Atchison T. & S. F. R. Co. v. Mathews, 174 U. S. 96, 43 L. ed. 909, 19 Sup. Ct. Rep. 609 ..... 15, 61

Atlantic & Pacific Telegraph Co. v. Philadelphia, 190 U. S. 160, 47 L. ed. 995, 23 Sup. Ct. Rep. 817 ..... 17, 67

Arbuckle v. Blackburn, 191 U. S. 405, 24 Sup. Ct. Rep. 148, 48 L. ed. 239 12

Asher v. Texas, 128 U. S. 129, 32 L. ed. 368, 2 Inters. Com. Rep. 241, 9 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1 ..... 18, 72

B

Barbier v. Connolly, 113 U. S. 27, 28 L. ed. 923, 5 Sup. Ct. Rep. 357 ..... 9, 11, 15, 21, 26, 61, 62

Bowman v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co., 125 U. S. 465, 31 L. ed. 700, 8 Sup. Ct. Rep. 689 10

Bratton v. Chandler, 260 U. S. 110, 67 L. ed. 157 ..... 13, 52

Brown v. Maryland, 12 Wheat. 419, 6 L. ed. 678 ..... 17, 69

Brennan v. Titusville, 153 U. S. 289, 38 L. ed. 719, 4 Inters. Com. Rep. 658, 14 Sup. Ct. Rep. 829 ..... 18, 73

Brazee v. Michigan, 241 U. S. 340, 36 Sup. Ct. Rep. 561, 60 L. ed. 1034 ..... 14, 59

Brodnax v. Missouri, 219 U. S. 285, 31 Sup. Ct. Rep. 238, 55 L. ed. 219 ..... 12, 14, 60

C

Chicago R. I. & P. R. Co. v. Arkansas, 219 U. S. 453, 31 Sup. Ct. Rep. 275, 55 L. ed. 290 ..... 10, 28

Compagnie Francaise v. La. State Board of Health, 186 U. S. 380, 22 Sup. Ct. Rep. 811, 46 L. ed. 1209 ..... 11, 29

Crossman v. Lurman, 192 U. S. 189, 24 Sup. Ct. Rep. 234, 48 L. ed. 401 ..... 12, 46

Caldwell v. Sioux Falls Stock Yards Co., 242 U. S. 559, 37 Sup. Ct. Rep. 224, 61 L. ed. 493 ..... 12, 51

Cheney Bros. Co. v. Massachusetts, 246 U. S. 147, 38 Sup. Ct. Rep. 295, 62 L. ed. 632 ..... 18, 75

Page

Crenshaw v. Arkansas, 227 U. S. 389, 33 Sup. Ct. Rep. 294, 57 L. ed. 565 ..... 18, 75

Cooley v. Port Wardens, 12 How. 299, 13 L. ed. 996 11

D

Davis v. Virginia, 236 U. S. 697, 35 Sup. Ct. Rep. 479, 59 L. ed. 795 ..... 18, 75

Dozier v. Alabama, 218 U. S. 124, 30 Sup. Ct. Rep. 649, 54 L. ed. 965, 28 L. R. A. (N. S.) 264 ..... 18, 75

E

Escanaba Co. v. Chicago, 107 U. S. 678, 28 Sup. Ct. Rep. 185, 27 L. ed. 442 ..... 9, 21, 24

Erie R. Co. v. Williams, 233 U. S. 685, 34 Sup. Ct. Rep. 761, 58 L. ed. 1155, 12 Am. L. Rep. 637, 51 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1097 ..... 15, 32, 60

Engel v. O'Malley, 219 U. S. 128, 31 Sup. Ct. Rep. 190, 55 L. ed. 129 ..... 14, 16, 59, 64

Ex parte McNeil, 13 Wall. 236, 20 L. ed. 624 11

F

Flint v. Stone-Tracey Co., 220 U. S. 107, 31 Sup. Ct. Rep. 342, 55 L. ed. 389 ..... 13, 38

Freund on Police Power, Section 272 ..... 13, 42

Federal Trade Commission Dockets Nos. 1099 and 1123 80

G

Gibbons v. Odgen, 22 U. S. 9 Wheat. 1, 203, 6 L. ed. 23, 72 .. 9, 21

Gilman v. Philadelphia, 3 Wall. 713, 18 L. ed. 96, 100 .. 9, 21, 23

Gundling v. Chicago, 177 U. S. 183, 20 Sup. Ct. Rep. 633, 44 L. ed. 725 ..... 13, 52

H

Hebe Co. v. Calvert, 246 Fed. 711 ..... 9, 21, 30

Hennington v. Georgia, 163 U. S. 299, 16 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1086, 41 L. ed. 166 ..... 10, 28, 55

Page

Hendrick v. Maryland, 235 U. S. 610, 35 Sup. Ct. Rep. 140, 59 L. ed. 385 ..... 11, 12, 16, 30, 65, 66

Hall v. Geiger-Jones Co., 242 U. S. 539, 37 Sup. Ct. Rep. 217, 61 L. ed. 480 ..... 12, 16, 48

Heath & Milligan Mfg. Co. v. Worst, 207 U. S. 338, 28 Sup. Ct. Rep. 114, 52 L. ed. 236 64

I

International Textbook Co. v. District of Columbia, 35 App. D. C. 307 ..... 11, 17, 27

K

Kane v. N. J., 242 U. S. 160, 37 Sup. Ct. Rep. 30, 61 L. ed. 222 ..... 11, 12, 30, 65

L

Leloup v. Mobile, 127 U. S. 640, 8 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1380, 32 L. ed. 311 ..... 9, 21

Lake Shore & M. S. R. Co. v. Ohio el rel. Lawrence, 173 U. S. 285, 19 Sup. Ct. Rep. 465, 43 L. ed. 702 ..... 12, 32

M

McCall v. California, 136 U. S. 104, 10 Sup. Ct. Rep. 881, 34 L. ed. 391, 3 Inters. Com. Rep. 181 ..... 18, 72

McQuillin Municipal Corporations, Vol. 3, p. 2230 ..... 16, 66

Martin v. Pittsburgh & L. E. R. Co. 203 U. S. 284, 27 Sup. Ct. Rep. 100, 51 L. ed. 184 10

Mobile County v. Kimball, 102 U. S. 691, 26 L. ed. 238 .... 16, 65

Minnesota Rate Cases (Simpson v. Shepherd), 230 U. S. 352, 33 Sup. Ct. Rep. 729, 57 L. ed. 1511, 1540, 48 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1151, Ann Cas. 1916A, 18 ..... 8, 16, 19, 22

Missouri ex rel Barrett v. Kansas National Gas Co., ... U. S. ...., 44 Sup. Ct. Rep. 544, 68 L. ed 585 ..... 9, 21

Merrick v Halsey & Co., 242 U. S. 568, 37 Sup. Ct. Rep. 227, 61 L. ed. 498 ..... 12, 14, 51, 57

Museo v. United Surety Co., 196 N. Y. 459, 90 N. E. 171, 134 Am. St. Rep. 851 ..... 14, 53

N

Page

Nashville C. & St. L. R. Co. v. Alabama, 128 U. S. 96, 9 Sup. Ct. Rep. 28, 32 L. ed. 352 ..... 10, 29

New Mexico ex rel. v. Denver R. G. R. Co., 203 U. S. 38, 27 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1, 51 L. ed. 78 ..... 11, 16, 29, 67

N. Y., N. H. & H. R. Co. v. N. Y., 165 U. S. 628, 17 Sup. Ct. Rep. 418, 41 L. ed. 853 ..... 10, 31

New York ex rel. Pa. Ry. Co. v. Knight, 192 U. S. 21, 24 Sup. Ct. Rep. 202, 48 L. ed. 325 ..... 12, 33

New York & N. E. R. Co. v. Bristol, 151 U. S. 556, 14 Sup. Ct. Rep. 437, 38 L. ed. 269 ..... 15, 60

O

Ozan Lbr. Co. v. Union National Bank, 207 U. S. 251, 28 Sup. Ct. Rep. 89, 52 L. ed. 195 ..... 16, 63

Olsen v. Smith, 195 U. S. 332, 25 Sup. Ct. Rep. 52, 49 L. ed. 224 11

P

Parkersburg & O. River Transp. Co. v. Parkersburg, 107 U. S. 691, 27 L. ed. 584, 2 Sup. Ct. Rep. 732 16

Powell v. Pa., 127 U. S. 678, 32 L. ed. 253 11

Philadelphia & S. Mail S. S. Co. v. Pa. 122 U. S. 326, 7 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1118, 30 L. ed. 1200 ..... 9, 18, 21, 72

Plumley v. Massachusetts, 155 U. S. 461, 15 Sup. Ct. Rep. 154, 39 L. ed. 223 ..... 12, 13, 41, 44

People ex rel. Tyroler v. Warden, 157 N. Y. 116, 43 L. R. A. 264, 68 Am. St. Rep. 763, 51 N. E. 1006 58

Patapsco Guano Co. v. Board of Agriculture, 171 U. S. 345, 18 Sup. Ct. Rep. 862, 43 L. ed. 191 ..... 11, 16, 65, 67

Q

Quong Wing v. Kirkendall, 223 U. S. 59, 32 Sup. Ct. Rep. 192, 56 L. ed. 350, 351 ..... 15, 61, 62

R

Robbins v. Taxing District, 120 U. S. 489, 7 Sup. Ct. Rep. 592, 30 L. ed. 694, 1 Inters. Com. Rep. 45 ..... 8, 17, 19, 70

Page

Re Rahrer, 140 U. S. 545, 11 Sup. Ct. Rep. 865, 35 L. ed. 572 ..... 9, 21

Rast v. Van Deman & Lewis Co., 240 U. S. 342, 36 Sup. Ct. Rep. 370, 60 L. ed. 679 ..... 15, 61

Rogers v. Arkansas, 227 U. S. 401, 33 Sup. Ct. Rep. 298, 57 L. ed. 569 ..... 18, 75

Rearick v. Pennsylvania, 203 U. S. 507, 27 Sup. Ct. Rep. 159, 51 L. ed. 295 ..... 18, 75

S

Simpson v. Shepherd, 230 U. S. 352, 57 L. ed. 1511, 33 Sup. Ct. Rep. 729, 48 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1151, Ann. Cas. 1916A, 18 ..... 8, 16, 19, 22

Sherlock v. Alling, 93 U. S. 99, 23 L. ed. 819 ..... 9, 10, 21, 26, 31

Sonneborn Bros. v. Keeling, 262 U. S. 506, ... Sup. Ct. Rep. ...., 67 L. ed. 1095 8

State v. Leary, 125 Atl. (R. I.) 353 ..... 9, 21, 25

Smith v. Alabama, 124 U. S. 465, 8 Sup. Ct. Rep. 564, 31 L. ed. 508 ..... 10, 33, 65

Standard Home Co. v. Davis, 217 Fed. 904 ..... 12, 13, 41

Sligh v. Kirkwood, 237 U. S. 52, 35 Sup. Ct. Rep. 501, 59 L. ed. 835 10

Schollenberger v. Pennsylvania, 171 U. S. 1, 18 Sup. Ct. Rep. 759, 43 L. ed. 49 ..... 10, 44

Savage v. Jones, 225 U. S. 501, 321 Sup. Ct. Rep. 715, 56 L. ed. 1182 ..... 11, 47

St. Louis & I. M. & S. R. Co. v. Paul, 173 U. S. 404, 19 Sup. Ct. Rep. 419, 43 L. ed. 746 ..... 15, 60

Stoutenburg v. Hennick, 129 U. S. 141, 9 Sup. Ct. Rep. 256, 32 L. ed. 637 ..... 18, 72

Stockard v. Morgan, 185 U. S. 27, 22 Sup. Ct. Rep. 576, 46 L. ed. 785 ..... 18, 74

T

Texas Transport & T. Co. v. New Orleans, 68 L. ed. Adv. Ops. 283 (Reversing 152 La. 397, 93 So. 751) 32

Page

Tanner v. Little, 240 U. S. 369, 36 Sup. Ct. Rep. 379, 60 L. ed. 691 ..... 15, 61, 62

Transportation Co. v. Parkersburg, 107 U. S. 691, 2 Sup. Ct. Rep. 732, 27 L. ed. 584 11

U

U. S. v. Hart, Peters C. C. (U. S.) 390 ..... 10, 29

U. S. v. Union P. R. Co., 160 U. S. 1, 16 Sup. Ct. Rep. 190, 40 L. ed. 319 ..... 15, 60

W

Walling v. Michigan, 116 U. S. 446, 6 Sup. Ct. Rep. 454, 29 L. ed. 691 ..... 9, 17, 21, 69

Welton v. Missouri, 91 U. S. 275, 23 L. ed. 347 ..... 8, 20

Western Union Telegraph Co. v. James, 162 U. S. 650, 16 Sup. Ct. Rep. 934, 40 L. ed. 1105 ..... 14, 55

Western Union Telegraph Co. v. New York, 38 Fed. 552.... 10

Western Union Telegraph Co. v. New Hope, 187 U. S. 419, 23 Sup. Ct. Rep. 204, 47 L. ed. 240 17

Wisconsin M. & P. R. Co. v. Jacobson, 179 U. S. 287, 21 Sup. Ct. Rep. 115, 45 L. ed 194 ..... 15, 60

Western Oil Refining Co. v. Lipscomb, 244 U. S. 346, 37 Sup. Ct. Rep. 623, 61 L. ed. 1181 ..... 18, 75

Williams v. Fears, 179 U. S. 270, 21 Sup. Ct. Rep. 128, 45 L. ed. 186 54

Y

Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U. S. 356, 6 Sup. Ct. Rep. 1064, 30 L. ed. 220 39